

PHILLIP A. TALBERT  
United States Attorney  
ANTONIO J. PATAKA  
Assistant United States Attorney  
2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401  
Fresno, CA 93721  
Telephone: (559) 497-4000  
Facsimile: (559) 497-4099

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
United States of America

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.

CESAR LOSOYA-CASTREJON,  
ARTURO FARIAS-ZEPEDA,  
ROBERTO SORIA-CUEVAS,  
RICARDO RIVAS ARREDONDO,  
  
Defendants.

CASE NO. 1:22-CR-00297-JLT-BAM

STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE  
TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;  
ORDER

**STIPULATION**

Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

1. By previous order, this matter was set for status conference on 6/26/2024.
2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until 10/23/2024, and to exclude time between 6/26/2024, and 10/23/2024, under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4].
3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
  - a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case includes thousands of pages of investigative reports, video, audio recordings, cell phone extractions, and other voluminous materials. Supplemental discovery has been either produced

1 directly to counsel or made available for inspection and copying. The government has extended  
2 formal plea offers and is engaged in plea negotiations.

3 b) Counsel for defendants desire additional time to consult with their clients, review  
4 the voluminous discovery, conduct independent investigation, and pursue a potential pretrial  
5 resolution of the case.

6 c) Counsel for defendants believe that failure to grant the above-requested  
7 continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking  
8 into account the exercise of due diligence.

9 d) The government does not object to the continuance.

10 e) The parties will be prepared to set a trial date at the next status conference if this  
11 matter remains unresolved.

12 f) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the  
13 case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the  
14 original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.

15 g) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161,  
16 et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of 6/26/2024 to 10/23/2024,  
17 inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4]  
18 because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of  
19 the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest  
20 of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

21 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the  
22 Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial  
23 must commence.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Date: June 12, 2024

PHILLIP A. TALBERT  
United States Attorney

/s/ Antonio J. Pataca  
ANTONIO J. PATACA  
Assistant United States Attorney

Date: June 12, 2024

/s/ Victor M. Perez  
VICTOR M. PEREZ  
Counsel for Defendant  
CESAR LOSOYA-CASTREJON

Date: June 12, 2024

/s/ David Torres  
DAVID TORRES  
Counsel for Defendant  
ARTURO FARIAS-ZEPEDA

Date: June 12, 2024

/s/ Victor Chavez  
VICTOR CHAVEZ  
Counsel for Defendant  
ROBERTO SORIA-CUEVAS

Date: June 12, 2024

/s/ Mark King  
MARK KING  
Counsel for Defendant  
RICARDO RIVAS-ARREDONDO

**ORDER**

IT IS SO ORDERED that the status conference is continued from June 26, 2024, to **October 23, 2024, at 1:00 p.m. in Courtroom 8 before Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe**. Time is excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv). If the parties do not resolve the case in advance of the next status conference, they shall be prepared to set a trial date at the status conference hearing.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **June 18, 2024**

/s/ *Barbara A. McAuliffe*  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE